When telephoning, please ask for:

Helen Tambini **Direct dial** 0115 914 8320

Email democraticservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Our reference: Your reference:

Date: Tuesday, 18 November 2025

To all Members of the Council

Dear Councillor

COUNCIL - 20 NOVEMBER 2025 - ADDENDUM

Please find attached an Addendum to Item 3 - Local Government Reorganisation in Nottingham and Nottinghamshire

Yours sincerely

Sara Pregon

Monitoring Officer

AGENDA

3. Addendum from the Chief Executive and a revised copy of Appendix F is attached (Pages 1 - 6)

Membership

Chairman: Councillor J Cottee

Vice-Chairman: Councillor R Butler

Councillors: M Barney, J Billin, T Birch, R Bird, A Brennan, A Brown, S Calvert, J Chaplain, K Chewings, N Clarke, T Combellack, S Dellar, A Edyvean, S Ellis, G Fletcher, M Gaunt, E Georgiou, P Gowland, C Grocock, R Inglis, R Mallender, S Mallender, D Mason, P Matthews, H Om, H Parekh, A Phillips, L Plant, D Polenta, N Regan, D Simms, D Soloman, C Thomas, R Upton, J Walker, R Walker, L Way, T Wells, G Wheeler, J Wheeler and G Williams



Email:

customerservices @rushcliffe.gov.uk

Telephone: 0115 981 9911

www.rushcliffe.gov.uk

Postal address Rushcliffe Borough Council Rushcliffe Arena Rugby Road West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 7YG



Meeting Room Guidance

Fire Alarm Evacuation: In the event of an alarm sounding please evacuate the building using the nearest fire exit, normally through the Council Chamber. You should assemble at the far side of the plaza outside the main entrance to the building.

Toilets: Are located to the rear of the building near the lift and stairs to the first floor.

Mobile Phones: For the benefit of others please ensure that your mobile phone is switched off whilst you are in the meeting.

Microphones: When you are invited to speak please press the button on your microphone, a red light will appear on the stem. Please ensure that you switch this off after you have spoken.

Recording at Meetings

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 allows filming and recording by anyone attending a meeting. This is not within the Council's control.

Rushcliffe Borough Council is committed to being open and transparent in its decision making. As such, the Council will undertake audio recording of meetings which are open to the public, except where it is resolved that the public be excluded, as the information being discussed is confidential or otherwise exempt.



Email:

customerservices @rushcliffe.gov.uk

Telephone: 0115 981 9911

www.rushcliffe.gov.uk

Postal address

Rushcliffe Borough Council Rushcliffe Arena Rugby Road West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 7YG



Agenda Item 3



Report to Full Council

20 November 2025

Agenda Item: 3

REPORT OF ADAM HILL, CHIEF EXECUTIVE

ADDENDUM TO ITEM 3: LOCAL GOVERNMENT REORGANISATION IN NOTTINGHAM AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE

Purpose of Addendum

- 1. The purpose of this Addendum is to advise Members of revisions to the implementation plan section of the proposal for local government reorganisation (see appendix A for detail of the revised sections).
- 2. At the time of issuing the original report to Council regarding the above item, it was intended that a draft structural changes order (SCO) would be appended to the draft proposal for reorganisation as Appendix K.
- 3. It has not yet been possible to finalise a draft SCO or resolve a position on the legal vehicle for transition due to the complexities of the implementation within the local context that are now understood to be the case. This relates to the proposal involving an existing unitary council and an upper tier county council, and the disaggregation and aggregation that would be required. The timetable for implementation in the full proposal remains as currently envisaged.
- 4. In order to ensure the report to Council reflects this updated position, amendments have been made to the original proposal and are shown in the attached Appendix A to this addendum.
- 5. Officers will continue to work with external legal advisors on refining a legal approach to implementation which aligns with the features outlined in the implementation plan, with the intention of exploring the benefits of this approach with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG), so that it can inform the SCO developed and put in place for Nottingham and Nottinghamshire.
- 6. Paragraph 3.31 in Agenda item 3 should now read: A key section detailed within the proposal is an implementation plan which sets out the phases of activity required between submission of the proposal on 28 November 2025 through to vesting day of the new councils on 1 April 2028. There is real complexity in the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire context that needs to be considered when designing the approach to implementation: an existing unitary Council under best value intervention, differential performance in statutory services and two-tier local government. The implementation plan that has been proposed intends to minimise risk to Nottinghamshire residents, particularly those vulnerable children and adults in receipt of statutory services, as well as maximise the potential to deliver an efficient and effective transition that meets the Government and Mayor's expected

timescales for implementation and brings forwards financial efficiencies to contribute towards the financial sustainability of the future councils. This refers to the Governance structure and drafting of the Structural Change Order on page 137 of the proposal.

Legal Comments

- 7. It is anticipated that government will draft an SCO in due course for Nottingham and Nottinghamshire. SCOs are usually based upon either the creation of new unitary authorities or the conversion of an existing council into a unitary authority.
- 8. The amendments to the originally published proposal clarify the current position with the development of a draft SCO and its effect on the proposed implementation of local government reorganisation.

Page 111of the proposal

Revised sentence:

In addition, as we develop our approach to implementing the Greater Nottinghamshire proposal there may be the potential to save £550k from the one off LGR transition costs.

Page 137 of the proposal

Revised Section:

Governance Structure

There is real complexity in our current operating circumstances, with a unitary Council under Best Value intervention, differential performance in statutory services and two tier local government. As such, in our implementation planning, we have given careful thought to how best to minimise risk to our residents, particularly those vulnerable children and adults in receipt of statutory services, and how best to guarantee an efficient and effective transition.

We believe we know our area and our context best. During our work with MHCLG on our devolution programme, we successfully and effectively worked with officials on drafting the secondary legislation that brought the first ever Combined County Authority into force. Learning from this, we have started work with Browne Jacobson to explore a potential legal route to implementation, for future discussion with MHCLG, which recognises our local context. This is with the intention of developing a Structural Changes Order for MHCLG to consider.

We strongly believe that reorganisation should happen in a way that minimises risk and cost to residents. For that reason, we are exploring the optimal legal vehicles for the creation of the Nottinghamshire Council and the Nottingham Council that create two 'new' unitary councils through this process, with new political and managerial leadership, new operating models, minimised disruption to services and new ways of working as next-generation unitary councils.

We believe that there is merit in exploring a novel approach with MHCLG. Our external legal advice has indicated that this approach could still be lawful and consistent with current legislation (see in particular the breadth of section 11,12 and 15 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007).

Our aim, through the implementation approach being explored, would be to:

- Avoid additional transition costs through an efficient approach to transition
- **Streamline the transition process** for workforce and services between all authorities, assuring us around pace of delivery
- Reduce friction during the transition for contracts, procurement, and alternative delivery methods
- Ensure clarity of governance during transition around statutory services and for the new Councils, meaning that effective decision-making can be built in from the start with strong accountability

Page 130 of the proposal

Revised sentence:

The initial TPT will divide following the MHCLG decision into two teams across the two proposed authorities, who will work together to coordinate activity. This will involve representatives from all councils for each respective unitary boundary

Page 143 of the proposal

Revised paragraph:

Approach to implementation

In terms of structural change, we wish to explore with MHCLG a more novel approach to implementation as set out in the governance structure section of the implementation plan. Our aim is to make the transition from nine councils to two, simpler, more efficient and less costly. In order to manage risk in decision making during transition, we would wish to explore how this can be reflected in governance of the transition as defined in the SCO. This will help limit the exposure of our programme and our residents to risk and increase confidence of deliverability to the timetable.

Page 147 of the proposal

Revised sentence:

Section 8. Planning for implementation and wider public service reform references how we propose to reduce transition costs through an efficient legal vehicle.

page

 Ω

Total Cumultive Net Benefit

(643)

(5,987)

(23,086)

(44,457)

page

See P17

Year 0

Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9

Year 10

67965 Check total

(67,966)